

Climate Stewardship Plan 2023/24



Staffordshire Pension Fund Climate Stewardship Plan 2023/24

Staffordshire Pension Fund ('the Fund') recognises that climate change presents a risk which could be financially material, and which must be addressed under the scope of the Fund's fiduciary duty.

Given the Fund's long-dated liabilities and the timeframe in which climate risks could materialise, a holistic approach to risk management covering all sectors and all relevant asset classes is warranted.

To mitigate the worst economic impacts of climate change, there must be a large, swift, and globally co-ordinated policy response. The issue faced by diversified investors (such as pension funds) is not limited to the oil & gas and power generation sectors, but also to the vast number of downstream sectors, whose products and services are derived from, or reliant on, fossil fuel extraction. Investors focussing exclusively on primary energy suppliers could fail to identify material climate risks in other sectors and to limit the demand.

The Fund believes it is possible for companies with current high emission levels to change, reduce their emissions and thrive in a low carbon economy and that the support and stewardship of investors is key to influencing this.

Following production of the Fund's first Climate Risk Report, as presented to the Pensions Committee on 23 March 2021, a Climate Stewardship Plan (CSP) for 2021/22 was created. Receipt of the most recent Climate Risk Report has enabled an updated CSP to be produced for 2023/24. This reflects changes in the Fund's portfolio and underlying company investments and was approved by the Pensions Committee at its meeting on 31 March 2023.

The 2023/24 CSP again focuses on the investments having the most impact or of the most relevance to the Fund's climate risk, which improves upon the existing approach to climate-related engagement in terms of prioritisation. The **companies** recommended for engagement have been identified based on the following factors:

- Perceived level of climate risk, considering carbon risk metrics;
- Weight of the company in the portfolio;
- · Likelihood of achieving change; and
- Ability to leverage investor partnerships.

Updates on progress and engagement, in line with the priorities identified in the CSP will be presented to the Pensions Panel each quarter, as part of a Responsible Investment and Engagement report. An updated CSP will be presented to the Pensions Committee annually, alongside updates to the Fund's Climate Change Strategy, which was introduced in 2022.

Company (Sector)	Portfolio	% of CA100+ Net Zero Benchmark* Indicators Met	TPI Score**	Objectives	Vehicle	Next Steps	Engagement carried out 2023/24
BP (Energy)	 LGIM LGPS Central JP Morgan 	30%	4*	 Achievement of the high-level objectives of the CA100+initiative To duly account for climate risks in financial reporting 	LGIM, CA100+, LAPFF, JP Morgan, EOS	 Improved green house gas (GHG) intensity emissions reduction trajectory on products sold, as -12-20% by 2030 doesn't appear Paris aligned Publish absolute emissions projections for downstream business Lower oil price used in the capex test (engagers believe \$60/bbl is too high) 	Q1 23/24 JP Morgan. On BP paring back its climate goals without shareholder consultation, BP said, they might hold another vote in the future, but they want to focus on executing the strategy. However, acknowledged the risk of rising investor discontent with the action. Given half of its operational emissions reductions this year are through divestments, JPM highlighted the increasing push for setting principles for responsible divestment from some groups. While admitting that the company is paying attention to the concept of responsible divestment, the Chairman added that their acquisitions have enabled them to use the assets better than others and hence drive down emissions.
CRH (Materials)	• LGIM • LGPS Central	30%	4	 Improved disclosure around its membership and involvement in trade associations engaged in 	LGIM, LGPS Central via CA100+	 Climate-aligned accounting and audit: The company has thus far not responded to investor expectations regarding how material climate risks are considered in its accounts, how its own 	

Glencore (Materials)	• LGPS Central • LGIM	40%	4	climate issues More robust reporting of Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions Increased development of activities focusing on low-carbon cement solutions Achievement of the high- level objectives of the CA100+ initiative including attainment of the specific indicators in the CA100+ Benchmark.	LGIM, LGPS Central via CA 100+, LAPFF	climate targets have been incorporated into the assessment of assets, liabilities and profitability, or what a 1.5° pathway might mean for CRH's financial position. • LGPS has voted against Glencore's climate progress report at the AGM 28 April, alongside 35pprox. 23% of shareholders. Above 20% is substantial opposition, and the UK Corporate Governance Code requires the company to open dialogue with shareholders to understand their views and reasons for the opposition. • As co-lead of CA100+ engagement with
-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----	---	---	--	--

Glencore, we will
continue dialogue
with the CEO, but also
seeking dialogue with
the Board Chair and
Chair of Audit
Committee, on:
More ambitious short-
term targets - A
specific 2030 target, to
ensure full
transparency on the
trajectory of
decarbonisation
relative to IEA/IPCC's
1.5C for coal
Net zero accounting,
with dialogue based
around the findings of
Carbon Tracker
(previously shared
with Glencore)
Climate policy
lobbying, with
emphasis on Glencore
actively advocating for
a policy environment
in key markets
(including Australia) which will be
conducive to the
green shift and
supportive of the pivot

						that Glencore
		_				is seeking
Holcim	• LGIM	30%	4	Paris-aligned		To continue to push
(Materials)	• LGPS			accounts in	LGPS	the company to set
	Central			line with	Central	targets aligned with a
				IIGCC's	via CA	1.5C scenario.
				Investor	100+,	To continue asking for
				Expectations	LAPFF	clear, meaningful, and
				 Achievemen 	t	actionable strategies
				of the high-		for the company to
				level		achieve its targets.
				objectives o	:	To request the
				the CA100+		company explains how
				initiative		its capital expenditure
						plans align with long-
						term emissions
						reductions.
Linde	• LGIM	N/A	3	Improve	LGIM,	EOS have highlighted
(Materials)	• LGPS	•		transparenc	-	that Linde have been
,	Central			on	Central,	reluctant to engage on
	Impax			company's	Impax,	certain topics
	• JP			chemical	JP '	including climate
	Morgan			production	Morgan	change, a target
	Wiorgan			isclosure	. 0	should be to place
				Take a		additional pressure on
				leadership		Linde and escalate
				role in		engagement where
				phasing out		possible.
				the most		Improve transparency
				persistent		regarding chemical
				chemicals		production.
				and publish		·
				timebound	7	improve performance
			l	timebound		to reduce scope 1 and

commitment 2 emissions to better align to the trajectory of 2035 and 2050	
of 2035 and 2050	
greenhouse gas	
targets.	
Get targets validated	
from the science-	
based targets initiative	
NextEra • LGIM 10% 3 • Capital LGIM, • NextEra should aim to	
Energy • LGPS allocation LGPS get their targets	
(Utilities) Central alignment Central validated by the	
Impax with the via science-based target	
Paris CA100, initiatives (SBTi), and	
Agreement LAPFF targets should be	
Commitment aligned to a 1.5	
to clear degrees scenario.	
medium- and Inclusion of scope 3	
long-term emissions in targets.	
The day seemand	
get reporting should also	
be considered.	
Rio Tinto ● LGIM 20% 4 ● Achievement LGIM, Engagement will focus	
(Materials) • LGPS of the high- CA100+, on encouraging the	
Central level LAPFF, company to:	
JP	
Morgan the CA100+ Morgan bound scope 3	
initiative emissions reductions	
target	
Exit any industry	
associations with	
associations with	
climate lobbying	

		500/				misaligned with the Paris Agreement Provide a definition of the extent that the company will rely on carbon capture and storage within its decarbonisation strategy	
Royal Dutch Shell (Energy)	 LGIM LGPS Central JP Morgan 	50%	4	 To set and publish targets that are aligned with the goal of the Paris Agreement To fully reflect its net-zero ambition in its operational plans and budgets To set a transparent strategy for achieving net-zero emissions by 2050 	LGIM, CA100+, LAPFF, JP Morgan	 Intensity emissions reduction targets must be complimented by absolute emissions reduction targets, across all scopes. Aligning CAPEX with their NZ ambition. Demand-side: investors will work with sectors on the demand side, alongside Shell's engagement with its customers, to influence a 1.5°C aligned transition. 	Q1 23/24 JPM met with Shell and discussed the company's progress around decarbonisation and their planned divestment in Nigeria. Shell gave an update on the ongoing court case related to the 2019 oil spill, which led to a pause in the divestment process for Shell from onshore activities in Nigeria. Spill incidents are still overwhelmingly caused by theft and sabotage (88% of spills). To counter this, a number of cages to protect key points where pipes are connected have been increased. Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTI) methodology for the sector, something Shell had previously been part of the working group on, would provide credibility to the company's intensity-based approach. The SBTI has still not finalised the methodology. JPM also spoke about progress towards the 30% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions towards 50% by 2030. Shell explained that the majority of this has been achieved through midstream divestments (~70% of the 30%) but acknowledged the lack of real-world emissions reductions achieved through divestments and

								spoke to the due diligence that went into sales decisions, including the carbon credentials of potential buyers.
The Southern Company (Utilities)	LGIM LGPS Central	20%	3	•	Achievement of the high-level objectives of the CA100+ initiative including attainment of the specific indicators in the CA100+ Benchmark Framework.	LGIM, CA100+, LAPFF	 Improved CA100+ NZB Score For the company to set a short term GHG reduction target For the company to decarbonise its capital expenditures 	
RWE (Utilities)	 LGIM LGPS Central JP Morgan 	40%	3	•	Achievement of the high-level objectives of the CA100+ initia tive Improve the ambition of short term and mediumterm targets to be 1.5 degree aligned	LGIM, CA100+, EOS, JP Morgan	Engagement will focus on encouraging the company to: • More ambitious short and medium terms targets, such that they are 1.5-degree pathway aligned. • Improvement of climate scenario analysis included in the TCFD report.	

CLIMATE ACTION 100+ (CA100+)*

The CA100+ Net Zero benchmark is designed to assess the performance of the world's 166 largest corporate greenhouse gas emitters against ten key indicators. These indicators are all measures of success for business alignment with a net zero emissions future and with the goals of the Paris Agreement.

The ten indicators are:

- 1. Net Zero GHG Emissions by 2050 (or sooner) ambition
- 2. Long-term (2036-2050) GHG reduction target(s)
- 3. Medium-term (2026-2035) GHG reduction target(s)
- 4. Short-term (up to 2025) GHG reduction target(s)
- 5. Decarbonisation Strategy (Target Delivery)
- 6. Capital Alignment
- 7. Climate Policy Engagement
- 8. Climate Governance
- 9. Just Transition
- 10. TCFD Disclosure

The first assessments for each CA100+ company against the ten indicators were published on 22 March 2021 and refreshed on 30 March 2022. These assessments offer comparative assessments of individual focus company performance against the goals of the initiative. The most recent company assessments took place during October 2022. Climate Action 100+ has been consulting on a set of proposals to enhance the Net Zero Company Benchmark for the initiative's next phase, which is set to begin in 2023.

TRANSITION PATHWAY INITIATIVE**

The Transition Pathway Initiative (TPI) framework evaluates companies based on their climate risk management quality and their carbon performance. The former includes an assessment of policies, strategy, risk management and targets. There are six management quality levels a company can be assigned to:

- Level 0 Unaware of (or not Acknowledging) Climate Change as a Business Issue
- Level 1 Acknowledging Climate Change as a Business Issue
- Level 2 Building Capacity
- Level 3 Integrated into Operational Decision-making
- Level 4 Strategic Assessment
- Level 4* Satisfies all management quality criteria

Companies expected future emissions intensity pathways – labelled carbon performance – is assessed against international targets and national pledges made as part of the 2015 Paris Agreement. Alignment is tested on different timeframes, including 2030 and 2050.

There are eight carbon performance trajectories:

- No or unsuitable disclosure
- Not aligned
- International pledges
- National pledges
- Paris pledges
- 2 Degrees
- Below 2 Degrees
- 1.5 Degree

Contact Us

In writing or in person

Staffordshire Pension Fund Treasury & Pensions 1 Staffordshire Place Tipping Street Stafford ST16 2DH.

Email us treasury.pensionfund@staffordshire.gov.uk

Telephone us on 01785 276300

You can also visit our website at: www.staffspf.org.uk